The rule, as written, is a clear violation of Sixth Ammendment rights. Even though the Agency might argue that this is a Civil action, any Agency operating under the Cover of Law is clearly violating the rights of the individual.

If the TSA has sufficient information to uphold and enforce a suspension of the certificate(s), then there is clearly sufficient information to obtain an indictment and arrest of the person in question. If the information can withstand the scrutiny of a Grand Jury, then there is little value in attempting to suspend the certificate if it will not be upheld.

This rule allows the TSA to operate as a sort of "Star Chamber". By simply stating that a person is a "threat to National Security", the TSA can easily punish a person, cause great pain and emotional suffering, without much more effort than producing a brief, one page letter. This action, in itself, could be in violation of Fourth Ammendment rights, as there is no demonstrated cause, other than a statement that requires no backing. Forcing the affected person to then prove his/her innocence is clearly not in the tradition of American jurisprudence.

Dillon Pyron, CISSP